## Proposed Options for committee size and composition:

## constituency-nominated voting members

## **OPTION 1:** 10 - 10 - 6

- a. **Strategy: 10 constituency-nominated members (5 donor, 5 implementer**) in a voting capacity; and the following non-voting members:
  - o TRP Chair and TERG Chair
  - o 2 representatives of non-voting Board constituencies
- b. Audit and Finance: 10 constituency-nominated members (5 donor, 5 implementer) in a voting capacity, and the following non-voting members:
  - o World Bank and 1 representative of another non-voting Board constituency
- c. Ethics and Governance: 6 constituency-nominated members (3 donor, 3 implementer) in a voting capacity

## **OPTION 2:** 20 - 14 - 6

- a. Strategy: 20 constituency-nominated members (10 donor, 10 implementer) in a voting capacity; and the following non-voting members
  - o TRP Chair; TERG Chair;
  - o UNAIDS; WHO; Partners; and World Bank
- b. Audit and Finance: 14 constituency-nominated members (7 donor, 7 implementer) in a voting capacity
  - o World Bank and 1 representative of another non-voting Board constituency
- c. Ethics and Governance: 6 constituency-nominated members (3 donor, 3 implementer) in a voting capacity

Note: The two options for committee size outlined above do <u>not</u> include the Chair and Vice-Chair of each committee (Committee Leadership). The Committee Leadership roles will not be counted towards the minimum or maximum number of committee seats that a Board constituency may have, as Committee Chairs and Vice Chairs are expected to continue serving in a non-voting, neutral capacity.

	OPION 1: 10 – 10 - 6	OPTION 2: 20 – 14 – 6
PROS	<ul> <li>Allows more time for discussion and analysis within smaller focused committees to advance the work areas assigned by the Board</li> <li>Scarcity of seats can lead to more expertise and engagement within the committee</li> <li>Leaner committee meetings to manage in terms of time for deliberations and logistics including associated costs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Broader constituency participation in committees (e.g., 2 committee seats available to voting constituencies across the three committees)</li> <li>Deliberations and board recommendations in the strategy committee by the full board, and in the audit/finance committee by a large portion of the board, with additional seats in the Ethics and Governance Committee</li> </ul>
CONS	<ul> <li>Deliberations and board recommendations in the strategy and audit/finance committees are handled with half of the board represented in each committee</li> <li>Reduced constituency participation in committees (e.g., 20 committee seats available to voting constituencies split in half between the strategy and audit/finance committee with 6 additional seats available in the Ethics/Governance committee)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Larger committee meetings, or mini-boards, to manage in terms of time for deliberations and logistics including associated costs</li> <li>Can lead to more time dedicated to constituency interventions and less to group discussion/analysis</li> </ul>

Note: Based on the TGC assessment of the appropriate mandate for the Ethics and Governance Committee, in both options 6 seats would be available to voting constituencies.